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ABSTRACT – Monitoring is the serial evaluation of time-stamped data, and the volume of such data in an intensive care unit is huge. Clinical and biochemical data may be available at hourly or more frequent intervals but physiological data are ‘continuous’. Although sophisticated monitors display the physiological data in multiple and varied combinations, staff are challenged by the frequency of the false alarms and a lack of knowledge of the patterns from which they could predict problems. All these data, together with large amounts of clinical data, lead to information overload. In this paper, the case is made for the development of automatic decision-support systems based on statistical and probabilistic analysis of data patterns appropriate for the level of cognition of the user (nurses and juniors at the bedside rather than consultants). Such decision support could both reduce the false-positive alarms that frustrate clinical staff, and improve the early detection of pathophysiological events. We have used the development of a pneumothorax as our paradigm. Our data indicate that the clinical diagnosis of pneumothorax takes a median of 127 minutes, but using short decision algorithms based on routinely available monitoring data, most can be detected within 10–15 minutes of occurrence.
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Introduction

Monitoring can be defined as the serial evaluation of time-stamped data. This type of evaluation can be helpful for both diagnosis and prediction of pathophysiological events. Such data are particularly common in the intensive care unit where there are rich streams of clinical data, eg the hourly volume of urine; repeated abdominal circumference measurements; biochemical or haematological data such as the sequential measurements of blood gases or platelets; and physiological data on monitors at the patient’s bedside. Physiological monitors may give data at relatively low rates of transmission, eg with heart rate, blood pressure, blood carbon dioxide etc occurring at one-second intervals, or there may be high rates of transmission at many times a second to give the electrocardiogram (ECG) or blood pressure waveform.

Historically, the first monitoring of patients using medical equipment was almost certainly with the clinical thermometer. This proved to be highly successful as certain diseases have specific temporal temperature patterns – thus relapsing fever presents in a patient with a high fever for a few days followed by a crisis when the temperature falls to normal for three to four days before a further feverish period. In contrast, patients with malaria may have a temperature of 102–104°F each evening with a normal morning temperature. Such fever patterns may be quite predictive of the underlying pathology. The first use of monitoring in intensive care was carried out by the neurosurgeon Harvey Cushing. In a classic example shown in Fig 1, he monitored blood pressure and heart rate before, during and after an operation on a ship’s carpenter who had been crushed between a beam and the ship he was working on1. The carpenter was admitted to the local hospital in Boston with high blood pressure and slow pulse and was comatose. The clinical and neurological picture was that of an extradural haemorrhage causing raised intracranial pressure, so he was taken to theatre and a craniotomy was performed. The stages of the operation can be seen on the figure, where before and during the operation the time points are at 10-minute intervals. The already high blood pressure rises even higher with the introduction of the ether anaesthetic; the skull is opened and when the clot is evacuated the blood pressure falls and the pulse rate rises. As the patient comes round from the anaesthetic, the pulse rate rises further and the blood pressure falls further and then both are stable in the postoperative period.

We now know that this pattern of high blood pressure and low pulse rate is classical of raised intracranial pressure. There are other classical patterns that are taught in medical school – the rising pulse rate and falling blood pressure associated with haemorrhage, the fall-off in linear growth after birth in the pituitary dwarf, or the fall-off in weight or rate of weight gain with the introduction of gluten in a child who has coeliac disease. What has never been
defined is the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of these patterns.

If these experiential heuristics taught in medical school are to be based on evidence, we need to know the reproducibility of these patterns. And that is where our own work has begun, in relation to high density time-stamped data in a neonatal intensive care unit.

Why do we monitor in the intensive care unit?

If the digital read-out on a monitor presents us with a value (such as a mean blood pressure of 60 mmHg), in a full-term newborn infant we can be reassured that this variable is normal, and if the monitor has limit or threshold alarms we can also presume that we will have some warning of abnormality when the blood pressure exceeds or falls below the set limits. If, on the other hand, we know from a presentation of trend that the mean blood pressure is 60 mmHg and is slowly increasing, we have much more information. First, we know not only that the baby is normal but also that s/he is stable or even improving. We may also have the potential for earlier warning by seeing that there is a steady fall of the blood pressure and we can be alerted well before it reaches the lower threshold alarm limits. We can also see the effectiveness of treatment with the dopamine infusion bringing the low blood pressure up to the upper end of the normal range.

Trend patterns in physiological data

For 15 years we have been trying to ascertain trend patterns in physiological data. Thus on the cot-side screen we may have the heart rate, the mean blood pressure, the transcutaneous oxygen, the transcutaneous carbon dioxide, and the temperatures. We have been attempting to identify patterns within this data. Figure 2a shows the pattern of cold stress, seen within days of instituting our trend monitoring system. More difficult, but fairly consistent, has been the pattern of sharp increases usually at the same time as a sharp decrease in the transcutaneous oxygen (Fig 2b). We believed that our system helped in management but wish to see whether there was any difference in outcome. Figure 2c shows the pattern of cold stress, seen within days of instituting our trend monitoring system. More difficult, but fairly consistent, has been the pattern of sharp increases usually at the same time as a sharp decrease in the transcutaneous oxygen (Fig 2b). We believed that our system helped in management but wish to see whether there was any difference in outcome. Figure 2d shows the pattern of cold stress, seen within days of instituting our trend monitoring system. More difficult, but fairly consistent, has been the pattern of sharp increases usually at the same time as a sharp decrease in the transcutaneous oxygen (Fig 2b). We believed that our system helped in management but wish to see whether there was any difference in outcome.
However, other reasons why trend monitoring used in this way does not make a difference to outcome could be data overload, an inability to ascertain which data are important, or simply that staff are ignorant of the patterns that exist.

To test the last, we set up a project to explore the cognitive processes of doctors and nurses in interpreting physiological monitoring data, testing how junior doctors, senior doctors and nurses used, viewed and understood data.

Fourteen trend events, each lasting 2 hours, were displayed in 7-minute blocks to each member of staff. An event might be: the effect of endotracheal suction, the effect of dopamine infusion, or the occurrence of a pneumothorax etc. Staff were asked to review the data, to describe them, pointing out on the screen any abnormality detected. The staff member and the computer screen were videoed from behind and audiotaped. The tapes were then transcribed and each comment labelled as: a description, an interpretation, an uncertainty, a hypothesis, a relationship amongst different parameters, or an artefact. Overall, the junior doctors and nurses gave more descriptions, whereas senior doctors noted more relationships and generated more hypotheses. The results are shown in Table 1. The seven senior doctors generated many more statements and these statements tended to be hypotheses to explain the data. The senior doctors were able to make a diagnosis in real time in 68% of cases, the junior doctors in 58%, and the nurses in only 25%. When the full 2-hour trace was revealed, senior doctors made correct final diagnoses in 80% of events, junior doctors 78%, but nurses only 32% – the last being the group of staff permanently with the babies. Our conclusions were that the patterns in multichannel trended physiological data (which should give early warning of impending problem) are poorly recognised even by senior doctors but particularly by nurses at the cot side⁵.

How can we then improve trend monitoring? Better visual display such as the portrayal of toe/core temperature difference instead of peripheral or central temperature singly is one way (Fig 2a). Others have described alternative data portrayals that alert to abnormality such as frequency polygons⁶ and Metaphor Graphics⁷. The involvement of temporal considerations in such portrayals is more difficult ⁸,⁹.

There is undoubtedly data overload in an intensive care unit and it is difficult to discriminate the data on the monitors because different features require different time compressions for their display. Thus, depending on the time axis, events may be more or less easy to identify. If an infant is having a number of apnoeic attacks or bradycardias, these will be revealed if the time axis has a period of 10 to 20 minutes, whereas if a dopamine infusion is started the blood pressure may rise slowly over a period of an hour and a time axis of 10 minutes will reveal little. Often it will be important to be aware of short-term and long-term trends at the same time, but the greater the quantity

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)

**Fig 2.** Physiological data patterns seen in a neonatal ICU. (a) Pattern of cold stress in 600 g baby with diverging peripheral and central temperatures when incubator doors are opened for necessary care procedures. (b) The development of a pneumothorax in a ventilated preterm infant, with successful drainage after 2 hours when the diagnosis is made.

### Table 1. Recognition of recorded events from physiological monitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Senior doctors</th>
<th>Junior doctors</th>
<th>Nurses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statements</td>
<td>11,921</td>
<td>6,610</td>
<td>9,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live diagnoses</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eventual diagnosis</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statements = total number of comments made about events by staff group.

Live diagnosis = percentage of events diagnosed correctly by that staff group as event unfolded in simulated real time.

Eventual diagnosis = percentage of events recognised by staff group when all data was displayed.
of data displayed, the more likely it is that the important data will remain unseen. We believe that, if trended data are going to be of any value, intelligence must be developed within the monitoring systems themselves. Computer algorithms will be required to produce intelligent warnings of impending problems utilising different time bases. These may also prompt certain actions if there is high sensitivity and specificity for the problem (decision support).

An early example that we attempted was to define pneumothorax by a small computer program (macro) of three lines:

- `<start pneumothorax programme`
- `<15 min slope CO₂ in range 0.04–0.4 kPa/min`
- `<end pneumothorax programme`

This simply looked for a particular slope in the trended carbon dioxide value. The sensitivity was excellent but the specificity was poor and related to the time spent monitoring.

We have used the pneumothorax as our paradigm. This is a significant problem in the ventilated newborn infant. The incidence is variable and is decreasing with better ventilators and ventilation techniques. The mortality is historically as high as 40% and the associated morbidity related to pulmonary haemorrhage, chronic lung disease and periventricular haemorrhage is also significant.

In our first pneumothorax study we investigated whether, as in older patients, pneumothorax might be asymptomatic or whether rapid clinical deterioration immediately followed the onset. If there was a significant preclinical period, diagnosis before clinical decompensation might reduce the mortality and morbidity. We examined 909 level 1 intensive care admissions representing over 90,000 intensive care days and found 42 infants who developed a pneumothorax on our neonatal care unit so that we had monitoring data before, during and after the occurrence. We needed gold standards for the time of onset and the time of diagnosis. We took as the time of diagnosis the time of the first x-ray confirming a pneumothorax. The gold standard for the time of onset was determined by the author who reviewed in detail the monitoring charts. The occurrence of pneumothorax is accompanied by a sharp rise in the transcutaneous carbon dioxide trace accompanied frequently by a rather shorter but equally rapid fall in the transcutaneous oxygen as illustrated in Fig 3b. In the 42 cases of pneumothorax, we found the median time between onset and diagnosis was 127 minutes with a range of 45 to 660 minutes. Ten percent died within 2 hours of the onset and 45% died before discharge. We concluded that the clinical diagnosis of pneumothorax was late and mortality is still very high.

Our second study asked the question, is early warning of pneumothorax possible using expert knowledge of the carbon dioxide slopes? Our aims were to establish reference centiles for both the transcutaneous carbon dioxide levels but also for the slopes of carbon dioxide. To develop the normal centiles for both the levels and the slopes we used very premature infants who were stable and had low risk of subsequent problems at discharge. The 97th centiles for the transcutaneous carbon dioxide slopes vary over the period when the trace is being examined. Over 5 minutes, the 97th centile is 0.06 kilopascals per minute; over 10 minutes 0.045; and over 15 minutes 0.037. Using these slopes, the pneumothorax was diagnosed in 24 cases within 5 minutes, 23 within 10 minutes and 18 within 15 minutes. In most cases, the inability to diagnose the pneumothorax was a lack of slope when the nurse in charge of the infant

---

**Fig 3. Transcutaneous carbon dioxide levels in 42 cases of pneumothorax – each panel displays one case with 1 hour of data prior to onset and 2 hours following the occurrence.**
removed the probe to recalibrate it, not believing that the rising slope was a problem of the baby, but rather an equipment failure. Overall, 29 cases out of the total of 42 would have been diagnosed within 15 minutes. This indicated that the slope of the trended transcutaneous carbon dioxide can identify the onset of pneumothorax in a significant proportion of cases and thus might give extra warning for orderly intervention.

In a third pneumothorax study, we matched babies with pneumothorax with controls for the gestation of birth and the day of life. We used receiver-operator characteristic curves and found that the area under the curves for carbon dioxide trend slopes greater than the 90th centile was 82%. If we stipulated that the slope should be greater than the 90th centile for 5 consecutive minutes, the area under the curves was 89% with good specificity and sensitivity. The broad conclusions of our work up to this point indicated that medical and nursing staff were unused to the patterns on the monitors, but that decision support using knowledge of both centiles of reference physiological data and derivatives of the data could provide automatic early warning with good positive predictive and negative predictive capacity.

### Multidimensional space

When considering a number of different variables together, each can be viewed as one dimension of a multidimensional space. I would like to consider multidimensional space by comparing our normal data on carbon dioxide in the hour prior to a pneumothorax with the data in the one hour following a pneumothorax (Fig 3). One-dimensional space simply considers the values of carbon dioxide in the hour before and the hour after the occurrence of the pneumothorax (Fig 4a). Secondly, two-dimensional space considers either the carbon dioxide level together with the carbon dioxide variability (measured by stan-
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**Fig 4. Multidimensional space.** (a) One dimension: the transcutaneous carbon dioxide level in the hour before and after onset of a pneumothorax. (b) Two dimensions: y axis the level, and x axis the slope, of the carbon dioxide. (c) Two dimensions: y axis the level, and x axis the variability, of the carbon dioxide. (d) Three dimensions: y axis the level, x axis the slope, and z axis the variability of the carbon dioxide.
Five-dimensional space can only be viewed in two dimensions. One can envisage that the coordinates from five separate variables will meet in a subspace of this five-dimensional space. When the individual is unwell this subspace will differ in a particular way depending on the underlying problem (Fig 5). By viewing this dynamically, it is possible to identify the development of the clinical problem at a point when the data from the well patient moves away from the ‘well subspace’ as he becomes unwell, to a subspace indicating a particular illness. In this way, we are able to see the development of pneumothorax very clearly within 5 minutes of its occurrence.

**Conclusions**

The practice of medicine is largely a matter of pattern recognition. The signs and symptoms of a disease are presented in our classical teaching as patterns, e.g. the occurrence of a coryzal illness with white spots in the mouth (Koplik spots) indicates clearly that measles is the diagnosis. The recognition of symptom complexes is pattern recognition and similarly the responses to therapy should take a particular pattern. These patterns are usually not visual data patterns – we have had no training in these and are uncertain even when we see them as to how specific they are. However, pattern recognition in time series is what monitoring is all about. Can it be left to clinical staff to recognise these patterns? Clinicians have difficulty interpreting data for many reasons. For example, the vast amounts of data to be assimilated in an intensive care unit lead to data overload. Also, the distractions from the clinical environment lead to clinical overload. A multitude of alarms occurs in intensive care unit usually indicating minor technical problems: the ‘crying wolf’ situation. There are different levels of both nursing and medical expertise in the unit and overall it is the most junior staff who are at the bedside with the greatest diagnostic responsibility. There is also tiredness and human error in this highly fraught environment.

So pattern recognition in time series is what monitoring is all about, but since we cannot leave it to the clinical staff we can use pattern recognition techniques from the fields of artificial intelligence and computer science to build intelligent decision support into future monitors. A plan of action might be:

1. Patterns need to be recognised – this can be done with machine learning techniques or by dedicated clinicians in the field going over many traces by eye.
2. Patterns need to be described with artificial intelligence techniques.
3. These descriptions need to be tested on large databases of such time series data.
4. The monitors need to be programmed to recognise these patterns by artificial intelligence techniques.
5. Real-time testing then needs to be used to ensure that specificity and sensitivity is adequate for clinical usage.
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