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Radiotherapy is an effective treatment modality and an essential tool in the management of cancer. As the incidence of malignant disease rises it is inevitable that physicians will increasingly encounter patients who have presented acutely and require radiotherapy or with a complication from irradiation. This paper explores the basic principles of radiotherapy tailored to the perspective of the acute medical physician and how to manage acute complications. We also discuss the role of radiotherapy in the acutely ill patient and define the need for radiotherapy pathways to ensure that patients receive treatment in a timely manner.

Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) is an integral tool in the management of malignant disease. Of all patients diagnosed with cancer at least half will receive radiation at some point in the course of their illness and consequently, physicians will be confronted with a significant number of cancer patients following emergency presentation that requires or is caused by radiation therapy.

Background: radiation biology

Radiation damages the DNA of cancer cells; its ionising effect causes DNA breaks which lead to cell death and tumour shrinkage. RT encompasses a range of differing treatments tailored according to intent; curative, adjuvant, radical, palliative and prophylactic (Box 1).

RT utilises therapeutic radiation that is part of the electromagnetic spectrum and consists of high energy photons: X-rays. External beam RT is delivered from an external source and directed to a specific anatomical region that requires treatment. The source, termed a linear accelerator, directs high-energy electrons to a tungsten target producing a photon beam, and is housed within in a specific treatment facility, most often in the setting of a regional cancer specialist hospital. In brachytherapy, the source is placed in or next to a tumour within the patient (for example, in cervical cancer) and radiation is emitted from the nucleus of a radioactive isotope; such as radium-223.

The radiotherapy pathway

Radiation is administered in daily treatments or ‘fractions’ that are typically delivered over a 30-minute appointment. In palliation, therapy may be delivered as a single fraction, however, curative therapy in many radiosensitive malignancies may require much higher doses in the range of 66–70 Gy, administered in fractions of 1.8–2 Gy daily throughout a 6–8 week schedule. Normal healthy tissues exhibit a threshold tolerance to RT, beyond which they become damaged and contribute to side effects. This threshold is what limits the dose that can be safely delivered. Time between fractions allows for normal cell repair. It also allows for sensitisation of tumour cells that were previously radioresistant. As tumour shrinkage occurs there is improved oxygenation to the cancer. This enhances the effect of RT via free radical production.

Complications of RT are described as ‘early’ (during or shortly after treatment) or ‘late’ (months to years after treatment). Higher RT doses translate into more early toxicity, sometimes this is unavoidable in curative treatment. Late complications are largely due to fibrosis of the irradiated tissue, the risk of which can be reduced by the use of smaller daily fractions of 2 Gy or less. Palliative RT treatment is intended to alleviate symptoms and is not curative. In this patient cohort, the focus is on quality of life and hence the acute effects of radical treatment would not be acceptable. Therefore treatment is administered with
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fewer fractions of greater dose, given that late side effects, which occur over months to years, are less relevant to a population group with a shorter life expectancy.

Side effects of radiotherapy

RT is usually delivered from centralised regional centres; given this and the large volumes of patients treated; there will inevitably be occasions when patients will present to their local hospital with complications of their treatment. Therefore it is important for the medical team to be aware of the patterns of toxicity and general management principles.

The early complications of RT are common and usually self-limiting. They can peak after treatment has finished and cause anxiety if the patient is not informed of the nature and timing of side effects before they occur. A common symptom is fatigue; the aetiology of which is not fully understood but can be seen in up to 80% of patients irradiated.²

As a general rule, RT acutely causes an inflammatory process in the area treated. This can present as swelling, oedema and pain. Once treatment finishes, inflammation and tissue irritation diminishes and these effects resolve. For example, RT to the head and neck typically causes painful ulceration of the oral mucosa (mucositis) as a consequence of radiation-induced stem cell loss within the epithelium. The gastrointestinal tract is equally sensitive and acute toxicity can manifest as oesophagitis from thoracic RT; nausea and vomiting from abdominal treatment.

**Box 1. Terms used to describe intention or RT.**

Curative: goal of treatment is elimination of tumour and cure of the patient.

Radical: refers to intensity of treatment and dose of radiation; high-dose treatment given to eradicate cancer from area treated.

Adjuvant: when RT is used in conjunction with primary therapy to aid the principal treatment regimen (eg radiation of the breast after a ‘lumpectomy’ to minimise the risk of local recurrence).

Palliative: treatment aimed at relieving or alleviating symptoms rather than cure.

Prophylactic: treatment given with preventative intent eg prophylactic cranial irradiation to prevent brain metastases by eliminating possible microscopic tumours in the brain, such as in small cell lung cancer.

RT = radiotherapy.

Management of side effects

Treatment for acute radiation toxicity is largely supportive and consists of analgesia, anti-inflammatory agents and measures to reduce the risk of secondary infection. Table 2 lists site-related side effects and specific treatments.

**Treatment interruptions**

RT is utilised in the treatment of 40% of patients who are cured of their cancer. However in order to maximise its efficacy it is essential that radiation is delivered within the timescale intended when initially prescribed. If treatment is interrupted or prolonged this may adversely affect patient outcome; an unscheduled gap of one day can result in an absolute reduction in local control of a tumour by 1.4% and therefore reduce the chance of cure.⁹ If a patient receiving RT is admitted to the
Table 1. RTOG score system for early side effects.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>RTOG grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>No change over baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucous membrane</td>
<td>No change over baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower GI/pelvis</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTOG = Radiation Therapy Oncology Group.
Table 2. Specific treatments for RT acute toxicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Time course</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>Maximal after completion of RT improves with time</td>
<td>As per guidelines for cancer-related fatigue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>Resolves within three weeks of completion of RT</td>
<td>Emollients eg Aquamax to hydrate/soothe skin, for unbroken itchy skin 1% hydrocortisone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin breakdown</td>
<td>Seen with higher doses of RT and may last for several weeks</td>
<td>If ulcerated and weepy (most desquamation – RTOG grade 2 and above) then manage like a burn; typically use soft absorbent silicone foam bandages eg mepilex light which promote a moist environment and healing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Can occur after first fraction and persist for several days after last</td>
<td>5-HT3 receptor antagonists eg ondansetron have an established role in prophylaxis and treatment of established emesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>Usually occurs late in protracted treatment or after completion of a short course of RT</td>
<td>A low-fibre diet may be an adequate prophylactic measure. Established diarrhoea can be controlled with loperamide, codeine or in refractory cases, subcutaneous octreotide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dysuria, frequency</td>
<td>Usually occurs late in protracted treatment or after completion of a short course of RT</td>
<td>Send MSU to exclude infection. Increase fluid intake and/or cranberry juice. Some centres advocate non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, ie oxybutynin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck</td>
<td>Painful inflammation of mouth/pharynx (mucositis)</td>
<td>Occurs during latter stages of protracted course of RT. May endure for 2–3 weeks post treatment</td>
<td>A soft diet helps reduce irritation. Superadded infection with candidiasis is common and needs treatment (nystatin/fluconazole). Gelclair reduces pain by creating barrier over injured mucosa. Benzydamine is a topical NSAID which reduces ulceration and provides analgesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>Dysphagia,odynophagia (oesophagitis)</td>
<td>Occurs during latter stages of protracted course of RT. May endure for 2–3 weeks post treatment</td>
<td>Soft diet, analgesics. Antacid therapy; proton pump inhibitor (eg lansoprazole) to prevent reflux. Consider superimposed candidal infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Alopecia</td>
<td>A few days after starting treatment</td>
<td>No specific treatment however patients should be reassured this is reversible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSU = midstream specimen of urine; NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RT = radiotherapy.
The role of radiotherapy in the acutely ill patient

A significant number of cancer patients are admitted to hospital because of symptoms caused by their disease, rather than for complications of their treatment. RT is one of the most effective modalities of treatment for the relief of cancer symptoms such as pain and bleeding (see Box 2). It is estimated that approximately 40% of all courses of RT are given with palliative intent.\textsuperscript{10} Palliative RT can be used to relieve currently existing or incipient symptoms. In general it is best used for patients who have local symptoms that can be treated in the presence of a malignant tumour, although this can be extended to include patients who are deemed to be at risk of such symptoms.

Common indications

Pain control is the most common indication for palliative RT and should be considered in the context of a holistic care that includes appropriate analgesia and advice from specialist palliative care services. The holistic approach is particularly important, as maximum RT benefit may take several weeks to be achieved. Other potential indications for palliative RT are also included in Box 3.

In general, most palliative RT is given using comparatively low doses over as short a time as possible to minimise inconvenience to the patient. Single fractions are commonly used, but other typical regimes include two fractions given a week apart or five consecutive daily fractions.

The success rate of palliative RT depends on the indication for which it is being used, and it is sometimes difficult to measure, due to confounding factors such as a changing dose of analgesia. Nevertheless it is estimated that between 60 and 70% of patients who receive palliative RT for the treatment of symptoms caused by underlying malignancy do derive some benefit.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Contraindications

There are actually few contraindications to palliative RT and it is important to liaise with acute oncology services in determining the role of RT for an individual patient. Many patients deemed not suitable for radical treatment on the grounds of poor performance status, co-existing medical conditions or disease extent, may benefit from palliative RT. For example, patients with poor lung function and limited respiratory reserve, unable to undergo surgery or radical RT, may benefit from low-dose RT with a lower risk of toxicity. In terms of preventative RT there is little data to guide practice; for example it is not standard practice to prophylactically irradiate bone metastases whether or not they are painful, although there may be some benefits with the risk of fracture. However, lesions at high risk of fracture should preferentially be first reviewed by an orthopaedic surgeon to see if surgical intervention is more appropriate. There is no threshold of symptom severity that a patient needs to cross to require palliative RT. It is equally useful whether the disease is producing mild or severe symptoms, with little difference in palliative effect if treating a small or large volume of tumours.

Urgent radiotherapy

There are some situations when RT should be given urgently (i.e. within 24 or 48 hours), otherwise failure to do so could lead to death or catastrophic, irreversible damage. Severe pain, distressing as it may be to the patient, is therefore not an emergency, although it should be treated urgently. Box 3 is a list of RT emergency situations. Under these circumstances, ideally the patient should be seen by an oncologist and start treatment within 24 hours.

Emergency radiotherapy pathways

Pathways to access palliative RT for acute oncology patients can vary. In many centres, a patient who is admitted acutely unwell and found to have symptoms amenable to palliative RT, may have to be referred to a clinical oncologist, see that oncologist in clinic for a decision on RT treatment, and then wait to be planned for and to start treatment. However, other models...
exist that allow fast-tracking of acutely symptomatic patients to enable them to receive treatment in a timelier manner. Although in many centres patients are booked into the next available planning slot for the relevant consultant, in others there is a more team-based approach. For example, a model pioneered in Toronto consisted of a dedicated team of radiation oncologists providing fast-track palliative RT clinics most days of the week, and who would accept referrals from oncological colleagues, outlying hospitals and sometimes GPs. Other centres employed a weekly ‘bucket’ clinic approach, whereby palliative RT patients who could not be accommodated by their consultant within a few days would be picked up in a weekly clinic, often done by the on-call oncologist, or as in the Sheffield model, in a teaching clinic for clinical oncology registrars.

It is recommended that the acute oncology teams work with their RT centre to develop timely and effective pathways that are appropriate for their local service. Ideally, according to the Joint Council for Clinical Oncology guidelines, patients receiving palliative RT should wait for no more than a maximum of 7 days, although this is not always achievable. In many circumstances, a one-stop-shop approach is appropriate as there is evidence that in many situations single fractions of RT are as effective as prolonged courses. For example, the standard of care for patients with painful bone metastases is a single fraction of 8 Gy, and so ideally, a patient can see the oncologist, have their treatment planned and receive it all with one visit to the cancer centre.

Conclusions

RT is an effective treatment for cancer. It has an established role in the curative setting and is a useful tool in the palliation of symptoms secondary to malignancy. Side effects of radiation are managed with supportive care and are usually self-limiting. The acute oncology team are best placed to advise on this and should be involved at an early stage if a patient is admitted while undergoing RT. It is important to minimise any unnecessary delays in treatment which may compromise a potential curative outcome. There are well established pathways for conditions that require urgent RT (eg spinal cord compression), and for patients who require palliative RT on a less urgent basis (eg for pain), it is important that the acute oncology teams work with their RT centre to ensure a timely effective service is available.
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