Neutropenic sepsis: a potentially life-threatening complication of chemotherapy
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Background
Neutropenic sepsis (NS) is defined by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) as a temperature of greater than 38°C, with a neutrophil count of less than 0.5 x 10^9/l in a patient undergoing systemic anticancer therapy (SACT).1 Nevertheless, there is considerable variation in symptom presentation and clinicians should suspect NS in all patients undergoing chemotherapy who become unwell, whether they have a fever or not. NS most commonly follows the delivery of cytotoxic chemotherapy with a typical neutrophil nadir occurring at days 10–14. Newer, biological systemic anti-cancer treatments and radiotherapy have a much lower propensity to cause neutropenia. Therapy for haematological malignancies may result in a deeper nadir and greater duration of neutropenia and hence a relatively high rate of febrile neutropenia.

NS continues to be a significant cause of cancer-treatment-related mortality; the number of attributable deaths has doubled over the past decade, even after adjusting for the increasing number of cancers diagnosed during this period.1 The majority of NS deaths are in the elderly (aged 65–79 years) and are in part related to the increasing use of chemotherapy, greater dose intensity and greater comorbidities. Importantly, the rising mortality rate may also reflect an increasing element of devolved and dislocated care associated with current service design, as highlighted by the National Clinical Enquiry into Patient Deaths (NCEPOD).2 The report evaluated deaths within 30 days of receiving SACT and identified evidence of dislocation of care, delayed treatment and lack of patient engagement as contributory factors.3 Patients need to be aware of the signs, symptoms and risks of NS and the need to seek medical advice as soon as possible. The National Clinical Enquiry has resulted in the evolution of acute oncology services nationally and the development of a NICE clinical guideline for the prevention and management of NS.1 Sepsis during periods of neutropenia is typically associated with bacteraemia in the absence of localising signs. Blood cultures are important in guiding subsequent therapy but they are often negative. The pattern of causative organisms in febrile neutropenia has evolved over recent decades. Several decades ago, NS was largely caused by Gram-negative pathogens. In the 1980s, however, indwelling plastic catheters, which promote the colonisation of Gram-positive skin flora and their entry into the bloodstream, were introduced. As a consequence, drug-resistant Gram-positive organisms, such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE), have become increasingly prevalent.4 Gram-negative organisms, in particular Klebsiella species and E. coli strains, are also implicated in febrile neutropenia, and antibiotic resistance resulting from extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) production is increasing in these organisms.5

Prevention
The predictable consequences of cytotoxic chemotherapy suggest that there is a role for preventative action, including both patient education and therapeutics. Primary antibiotic prophylaxis, using fluoroquinolones or cotrimoxazole (delivered before an episode of neutropenic sepsis), reduces the incidence of NS and short-term mortality.4 Nevertheless, this approach needs to be balanced against the potential risks of increasing antibiotic resistance and the adverse effects of antibiotic use. NICE guidelines recommend the use of prophylactic quinolones for the predicted duration of neutropenia only for patients undergoing stem cell transplants, or for those being treated for acute leukaemias or for solid tumours where significant neutropenia (neutrophil count less than 0.5 x 10^9/l) is anticipated.1

The administration of leucocyte growth factors (granulocyte and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor [G-CSF]) reduces the severity and duration of neutropenia, the rate of NS and possibly the hospital length of stay. Despite this, there is no convincing evidence that prophylaxis with G-CSF reduces short-term mortality.6 The efficacy of G-CSF may vary according to the type of cancer therapy (treatments for leukaemia, lymphomas or solid tumours, or stem cell transplants) and must be weighed against the side effects of its use, which include bone pain, headache and nausea. NICE guidelines advocate against the routine use of G-CSF, unless it is an integral part of a specific chemotherapy regime. International guidelines recommend the use of G-CSF in selected patients who have a risk of NS exceeding 20%.6,7
Evaluation of neutropenic sepsis

Patients who present with a fever shortly after anti-cancer treatment should be promptly assessed by professionals who are familiar with NS and who have rapid access to broad-spectrum antibiotics. Emergency oncological assessment should follow the principles outlined in the NICE guidelines (Fig 1) and in the Acute Care Toolkit series 2. Initial assessment, by way of a thorough history and examination, should be supplemented by a clear understanding of the patient’s cancer journey with particular reference to the details and dates of their SACT. All patients undergoing chemotherapy should have access to a chemotherapy alert card or patient-held record that outlines immediate treatment plans and key contact details for oncology triage. Investigations should include full blood count (FBC), renal function, liver function, C-reactive protein, and urinalysis in all children aged under 5 years.

Fig 1. Management algorithm from the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines. Reproduced with permission. The full version is available at: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg151
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Fig 2. Risk-stratified care.

Lactate and blood cultures. Where a central venous catheter is in use, peripheral blood cultures should also be obtained. Urinalysis, chest X-ray, stool, sputum and cerebrospinal fluid culture are rarely helpful and should only be undertaken when clinically indicated. The differential diagnoses to be considered include malignancy-related fever, pulmonary embolism and chemotherapy-induced fever (most commonly seen with bleomycin).

Because of the potential risks of missing the diagnosis of NS, any patient who has undergone chemotherapy within 6 weeks of presentation should be considered as septic until proven otherwise. Not uncommonly, NS may present with ill-defined symptoms such as confusion and gastrointestinal upset in the absence of fever. All hospitals with an accident and emergency department should ensure that links are established with local acute oncology services to facilitate the development of a management pathway for NS, which should incorporate support for patients and carers and discussing with them the need to return to hospital promptly if a problem develops.

Risk stratification

Although NS should be considered a medical emergency, only a minority of patients will develop life-threatening infections or will suffer other serious complications. Consequently, patients with NS are increasingly stratified into those at high- and low-risk of developing septic complications, taking into account the patient’s social and clinical circumstances and discussing with them the need to return to hospital promptly if a problem develops.

For patients with confirmed neutropenic sepsis and a high risk of developing septic complications, a healthcare professional with competence in managing complications of antinecancer treatment should daily:

- assess the patient’s clinical status
- reevaluate the patient’s risk of septic complications using a validated risk scoring system, and
- take into account the patient’s social and clinical circumstances and discussing with them the need to return to hospital promptly if a problem develops.

For patients with confirmed neutropenic sepsis and a high risk of developing septic complications, the latter having the potential complications of antinecancer treatment should daily:

- assess the patient’s clinical status
- reevaluate the patient’s risk of septic complications using a validated risk scoring system
- take into account the patient’s social and clinical circumstances and discussing with them the need to return to hospital promptly if a problem develops.

Consider outpatient antibiotic therapy to patients with confirmed neutropenic sepsis and a low risk of developing septic complications, taking into account the patient’s social and clinical circumstances and discussing with them the need to return to hospital promptly if a problem develops.

The burden of illness (the first characteristic used to calculate the risk index) represents a measure of how unwell the patient is at presentation, but lacks objective definition. Clinical experience is needed to inform this judgement and it is recommended that risk stratification be undertaken by a healthcare professional with experience in managing the complications of anti-cancer treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burden of illness:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either, no or mild symptoms*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or moderate symptoms*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No hypotension</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumour/lymphoma or no previous fungal infection</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No dehydration</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient status at onset of fever</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged &lt;60 years</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Points attributable to burden of illness are not cumulative. The maximal theoretical score is therefore 26. A threshold ≥21 points defines ‘low risk’. MASCC = Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer.
Neutropenic sepsis is a significant cause of cancer-related mortality, requiring prompt diagnosis and treatment with empirical antibiotic treatment. Any patient receiving systemic anti-cancer therapy should be evaluated and treated as septic in origin until proven otherwise.

Management of neutropenic sepsis

In hospitals where professionals are familiar with risk stratification, low-risk patients should be considered for treatment with oral antibiotics followed by early discharge, taking into account their social circumstances. The minimum safe period of observation prior to discharge has yet to be determined, but most studies to date have observed patients for at least 24 hours before discharge. Any early discharge strategy should be linked with robust follow-up and continuous access to expert cancer triage. Intravenous antibiotics are warranted where specific expertise is lacking or if coexisting complications of chemotherapy, such as vomiting or severe mucositis, prevent the administration of oral medication. High-risk patients should receive empirical intravenous antibiotics without delay on the basis of the initial clinical concern and not blood-count results. The National Cancer Peer Review Programme recommends a national target time of 1 hour from the point at which a likely diagnosis of NS is made (on the basis of clinical assessment rather than laboratory results) to administration of antibiotic therapy.

First-line antibiotics

When choosing empirical antibiotics, the epidemiological spectrum of bloodstream isolates and regional patterns of antibiotic resistance should be considered. Treatment should follow local guidelines. In the absence of patient-specific or local microbiological contraindications, NICE guidance recommends β-lactam monotherapy using piperacillin with tazobactam as initial empirical treatment. It advises against the use of aminoglycosides in this context, as there is no evidence that combined therapy reduces mortality. Monotherapy is also associated with fewer adverse effects, such as nephrotoxicity, and avoids the need to monitor aminoglycoside levels.

Ongoing care

Following initial diagnosis and management by acute care services, ongoing patient care should be coordinated by physicians who have expertise in the management of cancer-related sepsis and supported by acute oncology teams. Intravenous antibiotics may be replaced by oral antibiotics after 48 hours if the risk of developing septic complications is reassessed as low. In the setting of solid tumours, antibiotics should be discontinued in patients whose NS has responded to treatment, as evidenced by lysis of fever and subjective and objective improvement, irrespective of neutrophil count. Where an organism has been isolated, treatment should be continued for a minimum of 5 days. If there is no strong clinical suspicion of central-line infection, there is no need for removal in the initial phase of management, but this should be reviewed if there is no resolution of fever or if there is evidence of post-flushing fever.

Persistent fever, in the absence of clinical deterioration or new focal signs, is not an indication for switching antibiotic therapy unless guided by culture results. In the absence of a source of bacterial infection, patients with a persistent fever after 4–7 days, who are expected to be neutropenic for longer than 7 days, should be considered for empirical antifungal therapy and investigation for invasive fungal infections. Choice of empirical antifungal agent, if indicated, will depend on whether or not the patient has already received prophylactic antifungal treatment. Despite the advent of novel antineoplastic therapies, NS will continue to represent a common oncological emergency presentation to acute medical services. Reduction in mortality will require greater vigilance and awareness of sepsis in cancer patients, combined with early access to broad-spectrum antibiotics and effective coordination of care in the emergency setting.
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Background
Metastatic spinal cord compression (MSCC) is a well-recognised complication of cancer and usually presents as an oncological emergency. Metastases to the spine occur in 3–5% of all patients who have cancer and are more common in patients with breast, prostate and lung cancer, in whom the incidence is 19%. The true incidence of MSCC is unknown but estimates are around 15% of patients with advanced cancer.

MSCC is usually caused by the collapse or compression of a vertebral body that contains metastatic disease, but can also rarely be caused by direct tumour extension into the vertebral column. Compression of the cord initially causes oedema, venous congestion and demyelination, which are reversible. Prolonged compression leads to vascular injury, cord necrosis and permanent damage. Patients who have no neurological function for more than 48 hours are unlikely to improve. Their condition should be discussed with their primary tumour site clinician or oncologist before considering magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or transfer.

Clinical symptoms and signs
Back pain occurs in 95% of patients who present with MSCC. It is frequently the first symptom and often present for a prolonged period. The pain may present as spinal pain or radicular pain, and studies show that it is often of high intensity (8/10). The next most common symptom of MSCC is limb weakness with many patients unable to walk unaided at presentation. Many patients who are at the point of developing MSCC are very unwell, have significant comorbidities or are of very poor performance status. Consideration should be made as to whether the patient would be fit enough for transfer and treatment before arranging an MRI. For a patient who is too frail or unfit for treatment, local palliative care is a more appropriate outcome; advice can be sought from the local acute oncology team or visiting oncologist.

Red flags for MSCC are:
- limb weakness
- difficulty walking
- sensory loss
- bladder or bowel dysfunction
- neurological signs
- thoracic or cervical pain
- pain that is increased by straining
- nocturnal spinal pain.