Severe hypercalcaemia can be due to benign disease and give reversible neurological signs

Editor – Crowley et al have outlined a sensible approach to a patient with hypercalcaemia (Clin Med June 2013 pp 287–90). In addition to the symptoms and signs listed we have also encountered and reported neurological symptoms of imbalance and signs of nystagmus, dysdiachokinesis and ataxia due to biopsy-proven sarcoidosis and a serum-corrected calcium of 4.4 mmol/l (and no evidence of structural lesions on neuro-imaging). All symptoms were resolved (and no evidence of structural lesions on neuro-imaging). All symptoms were resolved with steroid treatment.1 We also highlight that benign granulomatous disease can sometimes be the cause of very severe hypercalcaemia (above 4 mmol/l), although malignancy is the usual expected cause at this level.
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Laboratory samples deemed ‘unsuitable for analysis’ can be diagnostically useful

Editor – Sen Gupta et al’s ‘Lesson of the month’ (Clin Med June 2013 pp 309–11) is a useful reminder of the importance of working together for the benefit of patients, both for those who request and receive the results of laboratory tests and those who analyse and report the results. The increasing tendency for the centralising of laboratories does not facilitate this process. Nonetheless, I would hope that the first occasion on which a sample from a particular patient demonstrated gross hyperlipidaemia or abnormal sample clotting would lead to a telephone call from the laboratory to the requesting practitioner to discuss the findings and the most appropriate action to take.

There are some matters of detail in the examples of analyser artefact that Sen Gupta et al present that are worth commenting on.

The ‘white cell buffy layer’ in the sample tubes shown in Fig 1 is, in fact, a plug of gel. These are serum separator tubes (SSTs).1 SSTs contain a gel with a mass density between that of serum and blood clot. Once the sample has clotted the tube can be centrifuged with the gel plug rising to form an impermeable barrier between the serum and clot. While this is not suitable for collecting samples for all analyses, SSTs greatly simplify sampling handling in the laboratory and reduce the chance of errors.

Creatinine assays based on the Jaffé reaction are increasingly being replaced by enzymatic assays, which are less subject to interference from other compounds. The compound that produces this interference in diabetic ketoacidosis (mentioned in Table 1) is not a situation which produces a falsely elevated Jaffé creatinine. The compound that produces this interference in diabetic ketoacidosis is acetoacetate. The ketone body elevated in alcoholic ketoacidosis is beta-hydroxybutyrate, which does not interfere with the Jaffé reaction.2 Nor does it produce a blue colour with nitroprussite-based test strips for ketones. Laboratory confirmation of alcoholic ketoacidosis requires specific measurement of beta-hydroxybutyrate.

The pseudo hyperlipidaemia associated with a grossly lipaemic plasma sample is found because the proportion of water in the plasma is reduced by the high concentration of lipids. Sodium ions are found in the water fraction of plasma, not in the hydrophobic lipid layer. An instrument based on direct reading ion selective electrode (ISE) technology, where the sample is not diluted before measurement, is far less susceptible to such errors.3 The ‘lyte’ referred to by Sen Gupta et al in Case 1 is such an instrument.

In summary, clinicians should have a low threshold for talking to their clinical chemists, and clinical chemists should have a lower threshold for talking to the clinicians through whom they serve their patients.
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Is research declining among gastroenterology trainees in the UK

Editor – Clark et al comment on the problems of trainees carrying out research (Clin Med June 2013 pp 323). As chair of a research ethics committee (REC), I wonder if the authors really needed REC approval for their project.

Using the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Defining Research leaflet,1 it would appear that their study might well come...
under the heading of ‘service evaluation’. It seems ‘designed and conducted solely to define or judge current care’. It clearly complies with ‘usually involves analysis of existing data but may include administration of interview or questionnaire’.

It is a general point that many of the projects submitted by trainees for REC approval could be classified either under this heading, or as ‘clinical audit’ – which also does not usually need REC review. If in doubt, the chair of a REC will usually be able to give advice – we’re just as happy as the researcher chair of a REC will usually be able to give.

This is my personal opinion – I do not speak for NRES!

ANDREW JW HILSON
Chair of the London Central Research Ethics Committee, UK
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PEG placement for patients with oropharyngeal/oesophageal cancers

Editor – I recently read and completed the CME gastroenterology self-assessment questionnaire (Clin Med Dec 2012 pp 572–95). Question 3 asks about nutritional support for a patient with a pharyngeal tumour due to undergo radiotherapy and surgery. The answer given is that he should have a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) sited for feeding.

Endoscopic siting of a PEG tube involves pulling the feeding tube and plastic ‘bumper’ through the oropharynx, oesophagus, into the stomach and out through the gastrostomy site. This procedure potentially brings the tube and bumper into direct contact with tumours at these sites. Tumour seeding with development of metastases at the PEG site has been reported in numerous case reports and metastases of this nature can have devastating consequences for patients.

National guidelines highlight this issue and state that the alternative, direct puncture technique, has not been demonstrated to result in metastases, but they do not go so far as to make recommendations for clinical practice. Most recently a prospective trial has attempted to address this question. Ellrichmann et al performed immediate and delayed (after 3–6 months) cytology from PEG tubing and at the transcutaneous incision site of 40 patients undergoing pull-through PEG for ear, nose and throat (ENT)/oesophageal cancer. The results were concerning, demonstrating malignant cells on cytology of 22.5% of patients immediately after pull-through PEG placement, and 9.4% of patients with local metastases at follow up. While the authors admit the sample size was small (n=7 studied at follow up), the study demonstrated a shorter median overall survival in those with proof of malignant cells at follow up (16.1 weeks vs 26.8 weeks, p=0.08). The authors note that risk of malignant seeding was highest in older patients and in those with higher tumour stages and concluded that pull-through PEG should be avoided in these groups and direct access gastrostomy favoured instead.
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Managing hyperglycaemic emergencies: an illustrative case and review of recent British guidelines

Editor – In the paper ‘Managing hyperglycaemic emergencies: an illustrative case and review of recent British guidelines’ (Clin Med April 2013 pp160–2) the authors have discussed the difficulties of differential diagnosis between diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hyperosmolar hyperglycaemic state (HHS).

In this discussion, they have omitted an important event: the Nobel Prize of 1977 which went to Rosalyn Yallow for the development of new methods of biochemical analysis, enabling also to measure concentration of insulin in human plasma.

Already in 1981, the monograph Diabetic coma: ketoacidotic and hyperosmolar states the names of 12 authors who reported insulin in plasma of patients with DKA. Thus, the statement ‘... in DKA, the lack of insulin...’ is incorrect.

On the other hand, lack of plasmatic insulin has been reported in patients with HHS; for example, and even in diabetic outpatients on regular control without subjective complaints. Thus, also the end of the statement on p160 ‘...in HHS, residual beta cell function is sufficient to prevent lipolysis...’ is incorrect.

It is very useful to discuss difficulties in the differential diagnosis of DKA and HHS; however, this discussion would be more exact and more reliable if concrete numerical values of concentration of plasmatic insulin were included.

VIKTOR ROSIVAL
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