Avoiding emergency stops in end of life care

Fiona Hicks

‘Death must be distinguished from dying, with which it is often confounded.’ Revd Sydney Smith.1

We have all seen her. Many of us see her several times in a week, although her name may have changed. She lies in bed in a hospital nightdress, old, pale, thin or fat, quiet or talkative, sharp as a tack or quite ‘confused’. She reached her prime many years ago (or perhaps last week). We do not see her hopes, fears, achievements, memories, loves or losses. We see her chest X-ray, ECG, FBC, U&Es and LFTs. We clerk her on the admissions pro-forma, note her next of kin, treat her pneumonia according to our antibiotic guidelines, assess her risk for VTE, manage her heart failure, monitor her renal impairment, note her long list of medications and struggle to find her a bed.

She is coming to the end of her life. She is dying, but she isn’t dead. She is alive to new experience: to pain, to hope, to fear.

And here is our challenge. Do we look up from the immediate need, the ‘presenting complaint’, and see the person within the failing body? Do we even recognise that she is dying – maybe not this time, but soon? Do we ask her what she hopes for – or expects – from our treatment? Do we wonder if she knows she is dying – maybe over weeks, months or years?

Much of medical training and practice is about filtering information to focus on the pathology. We are trained to look past social status, age and background impartially to seek out the medical problems and to treat with an aim to cure. Time is pressing and, if we’re honest, there are occasions when we simply treat the condition and move on. The approach has been remarkably effective.

In his beautifully written foreword to the NCEPOD Report, Caring to the end, Professor Treasure notes that ‘Modern medicine has been hugely successful in blocking one after another of the too early routes of exit but, perhaps partly as a consequence of being able to postpone the inevitable so successfully in so many instances, a timely death remains difficult to discuss and therefore perhaps less well managed than it might be.’2

Life expectancy has increased. Perversely, the structure of our health service and patient expectations of modern medicine dictate that hospital admission is now the norm. Many people have multiple admissions to hospital in the last year of life,3 so it is all too easy for the dying person to become a ‘patient’ and lose control over their life at what is a most critical stage.

Age Concern’s ‘Twelve principles of a good death’ (Box 1) offers a considered foundation for end-of-life care and calls for an equally considered response.

Care of the dying has received a lot of attention in recent years, including the introduction of the Liverpool Care Pathway for the Dying (LCP), or its equivalent, to most hospitals in the UK.4 However, we must not interpret the LCP, with its improved care in the last hours or days of life, as a total care-of-the-dying package. If we are to aspire to the ‘Twelve principles’, we face a

Box 1. Age Concern’s Twelve principles of a good death.5,6

- To know when death is coming, and to understand what can be expected
- To be able to retain control of what happens
- To be afforded dignity and privacy
- To have control over pain relief and other symptom control
- To have choice and control over where death occurs (at home or elsewhere)
- To have access to information and expertise of whatever kind is necessary
- To have access to any spiritual or emotional support required
- To have access to hospice care in any location, not only in hospital
- To have control over who is present and who shares the end
- To be able to issue advance directives which ensure wishes are respected
- To have time to say goodbye, and control over other aspects of timing
- To be able to leave when it is time to go, and not to have life prolonged pointlessly
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much bigger challenge – to recognize that, for most people, dying begins months or years before death.

Does talking of death speed its approach? The difficulty that can be experienced in talking with a patient about their end of life might lead one to think this was the case. Of course, this is a societal issue, rather than one limited to medicine, but that does not exonerate physicians from our duty of care. There is a lack of preparation of junior doctors for their role in caring for dying patients. Significant numbers of doctors perceive their own failure in the death of a patient, and good practice in end-stage care continues to be subject to significant regional variation. Dealing with dying is clearly a challenge and an area of practice that many in the profession find difficult. As doctors we need to reassess what is important in the delivery of care in the last phase of life, challenge society’s norms and expectations and train ourselves and our colleagues – both junior and senior – to equip them to recognise and accept that dying is a vital part of living.

Talking with patients about their dying can be uncomfortable and waiting for the ‘right time’ or choosing the appropriate environment is an effective avoidance strategy, especially in busy hospital practice. Many of us feel that we don’t have the necessary skills and fear embarrassment, misunderstanding, complaints, anger or tears. In our discomfort it’s easy to turn to jargon, euphemism or other evasion, and to procrastinate over the simplest discussion. Life experience teaches us that early, small adjustments are almost always preferred to sudden, sizeable interventions. Our driving instructor demands good awareness and subtle use of controls to prevent an emergency stop or worse. Similarly, many of the events that occur during the last phases of life are known and can be anticipated. Our experience means that early, small interventions may be made that preempt later sudden changes in approach and expectations, and allow people the time to make choices about their treatment and care. Open communication is fundamental to this.

Recognising that clinical leadership is pivotal, a joint working party of the RCP, the Association for Palliative Medicine and the National End of Life Care Programme has been considering the role of physicians and organisations in improving care for people coming to the end of their lives. Published this month, Improving End of Life Care: Professional Development for Physicians outlines physicians’ views on their training, continuing development and practice in end-of-life care. Notable findings include the lack of formal postgraduate education in this area, coupled with a high frequency of such patient contacts. Confidence in this area of practice was reported as high in an online questionnaire, but focus groups and telephone interviews gave a more indepth and mixed picture, with areas of considerable professional and personal uncertainty. A key theme is that we work within a culture and with systems that do not facilitate this area of practice until death is imminent. Discontinuity in care between shifts, teams and organisations all too often leads to ill-considered and sometimes ill-adviced treatment. Personal, experiential learning was thought to be most profound, whether this came from shadowing experienced colleagues, placements with palliative care teams and services, or personal experiences – good or bad – of the care of loved ones at the end of life. The report includes practical recommendations to help physicians consider ways to make a positive impact on the care of their patients towards the end of their lives. Thoughtful consideration of its recommendations and judicious use of tools that could modify the systems we use could have a major impact on this important part of our work. With the death rate due to rise from this year onwards, good end-of-life care has never been more important. It is no longer feasible, and neither is it desirable, to maintain the status quo.
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