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ABSTRACT – Bed availability remains the main operational focus for managers and clinicians on a day-to-day basis within the NHS. There is now published research that establishes a lack of bed stock is too simplistic an explanation of the situation. Other reasons for bed shortage include the daily and weekly lack of synchronisation of admissions and discharges, the large variation in bed occupancy over time, the downtime during weekends and holiday periods, wasted time during inpatient stays and the variation in patient length of stay. So far most of what little work has been done has focused on the front end of the process, to ‘buffer’ unplanned admissions through the use of short-stay facilities, such as medical assessment units, as a short-term solution. This paper reviews the evidence for the hypothesis that bed availability problems can be solved by actions other than the addition of more beds to the system.
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Introduction

For two decades at least there has been concern that the NHS has been reducing acute bed capacity at too fast a rate to cope with demand for healthcare. The NHS Plan set out a significant expansion of the bed capacity of the system as a whole, although not all of this expansion was within the NHS and relies on external providers for some capacity.1 The extent to which this plan has been implemented to date is debatable.2 Earlier work has explored some of the capacity and demand variation issues across the NHS, suggesting that more capacity is not necessarily the answer to waits and delays for care.3 In particular, lack of bed availability is, from our perspective, more a symptom of significant planning defects and system design issues.

The purpose of this paper is to explore some of the conflicting views concerning the need for more acute bed capacity and to establish evidence to support a different approach to managing a healthcare system. In this paper a ‘systems’ perspective is taken and the impact of variation on the patient journey through acute care and onto intermediate or community-based care is considered. Work is underway to establish methodologies for seeking solutions to these problems.
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Bed management as a system issue

Bed availability is clearly a key issue in all acute hospitals. The four-hour government target for the accident and emergency (A&E) journey time put special focus on emergency medical admissions. It is now clear that bed occupancy levels influence the ability of A&E departments and assessment units to send patients to specialist wards in a timely manner.4

Bed management is the typical countermeasure to the almost daily ‘bed crisis’.5,6 Black criticises bed management in a typical hospital, especially concerning how information is managed: ‘…hospitals typically operate like a hotel where the only way to find a free room is to knock on every door’.7 However, some believe that bed shortages are self-inflicted and compounded by the known, predictable system dynamics that create additional problems for bed availability.5,8

The daily bed cycle

In the majority of NHS hospitals, the peak in arrivals (especially for elective patients) occurs during the morning, but the peak in discharges occurs in the late afternoon. One of the key roles for short-stay or assessment units is that of an operational ‘buffer’, where patients are kept during daytime hours, while the system waits for the later afternoon and early evening discharges to occur. Simple calculations can be made to demonstrate that typically 10% of bed capacity is tied up in managing this problem.

Weekly cyclical patterns

There is evidence for a weekly variation in admissions and discharges. First, the peak in arrivals of emergency admissions occurs on a Monday – precisely the day of the week when most elective admissions are planned. Second, discharge patterns are not synchronised with demand and do not usually occur seven days a week in the medical specialties. A telling symptom of this problem is that patient length of stay (LoS) varies depending on which day of the week they are admitted. It has been estimated that large output increases could be achieved from existing bed stock if admissions and, particularly, the discharges were managed seven days a week.9

Annual cyclical patterns

Healthcare demand is surprisingly non-seasonal when compared with demand in other parts of the service economy. It is too simplistic to suggest that ‘winter bed pressures’ are purely caused by increases in demand at this time of year. There are other complicating factors, such as the impact of lack of decision
Can we create smoother demand?

It is ironic that all relevant studies show that emergency admissions experience less significant variation than elective patient admissions to hospital. Clinical schedules do not match demand. Perhaps of even greater concern is the lack of certainty of availability of staff, through random failure (staff absenteeism, multi-tasking etc). These faults prevent the system from being able to respond to short-term random variations in demand or match rarer seasonal peaks. This mismatch leads to backlogs of work that are ‘stored’ in beds.

Can we match capacity to demand through more flexible working?

One of the characteristics of healthcare is the relative lack of flexibility in work scheduling. Clinical schedules do not match demand. Perhaps of even greater concern is the lack of certainty of availability of staff, through random failure (staff absenteeism, multi-tasking etc). These faults prevent the system from being able to respond to short-term random variations in demand or match rarer seasonal peaks. This mismatch leads to backlogs of work that are ‘stored’ in beds.

Can we better manage patient length of stay?

There is some evidence that the UK hospitalises patients to a greater extent than comparable ‘efficient’ systems in other countries and that longer LoS are as significant as lower admission thresholds. Clark and Rosen usefully debate whether or not a shorter LoS is beneficial for patients concluding that some can benefit from shorter LoS. There is already some evidence to show that shorter stays can have beneficial effects on patient outcomes if the systems are improved in a sustainable manner.

From the frequency distribution of LoS, it is recognised that patients cannot be considered as a single homogeneous group. The vast majority of emergency patients require a very short LoS (the quick patients), some patients have severe pathology that requires specialist support and time to heal, and finally there is a small but significant group that will never recover their original function and require extensive rehabilitation and support to get them home. Some of these will require long-term care or end-of-life care.

Using their average LoS as a measure of effective and efficient flow through the system as a whole is unhelpful. This encourages arbitrary bed reductions to force average LoS reductions that are not productive and care must be taken to ensure LoS patterns are sustainable.

The frequency distribution of the LoS and clinical experience suggest that these patients follow very different processes.
with different dynamics. There are common and more specific reasons for delays in each group:

- Ward rounds are relatively infrequent in many trusts and this artificially adds days onto LoS, especially for patients who require a short stay. The problem is at its worst where patients do not recover sufficiently for discharge on a Friday and usually remain until Monday due to lack of weekend cover. Similar problems occur before discharge, when one visit to each patient per day by a decision maker is the norm. This causes simple activities, such as requesting, interpreting and responding to a simple test, to take several days when the total time needed is rarely more than one hour.

- In-hospital access to support services, such as physiotherapy, suffers from very similar variation issues and there is poor coordination of these services. Access issues add considerably to LoS.

- Junior staff perform much of the key work, creating information handover issues and delays to key decisions.

- For patients with complex discharge needs, availability of suitable non-acute support in the community will depend, in part, on the ‘batch production’ system in social and primary care services. There is also some evidence that structural changes in previous decades, to reduce community bed capacity in England, have had a significant impact on the ability to discharge patients into suitable long-term care.19,20

Conclusions

The ability of hospital staff to get a patient to the right bed at the right time is dependent on bed occupancy. However, delays in the NHS are partly a self-inflicted problem due to a fundamental flaw in the NHS planning process whereby the impact of demand and capacity variation is not understood or managed. It is impossible to run a safe and efficient system in which there is unnecessary variability in the demand and capacity. In situations where resources are managed using average requests for beds and average LOS, delays to care will happen. A ‘systems’ approach is therefore recommended to understand that different patients require different processes due to their different dynamics. The whole health and social care system should plan capacity to meet the very predictable and relatively constant demand at every step in these processes, thus avoiding the need to use beds as a storage system for patients caught up in the lack of system flow.
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